





## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/220,293 12/23/98 BAER CA1028 **EXAMINER** TM02/1018 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK AND SEAS VEILLARD, J

1010 EL CAMINO REAL SUITE 360 MENLO PARK CA 94025

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2171 DATE MAILED:

10/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No.

**Advisory Action** 

09/220,293

Applicant(s)

William J. Baer et al.

Examiner

Jacques Veillard

Art Unit 2171



1

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
There reject allow	REPLY FILED <u>Sep 24, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. efore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final cition under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for vance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ex ap se	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The expropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the alling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🗆	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3.∟	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
4. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. 🕱	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
	application in condition for allowance because: <u>read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 &amp;</u>
7. 🗆	application in condition for allowance because:
7.□ 8.⊠	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
_	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
_	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed: 10-31
_	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
_	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed: 10-31  Claim(s) objected to: None
8.፟፟፟፟፟ 9.□	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed: 10-31  Claim(s) objected to: None  Claim(s) rejected: 1-9  The proposed drawing correction filed on all has been approved by the Examiner
8.፟፟፟፟፟ 9.□	application in condition for allowance because:  read-write correspond to "transferring the data to and from the data store" See Mullins's col.4, lines 49-65 & col.5, lines 17-19.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claim(s) allowed: 10-31  Claim(s) objected to: None  Claim(s) rejected: 1-9  The proposed drawing correction filed on

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
PARCHNOLOGY CENTER 2100
PARCH Paper No. 11